

DECISION



THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

FILE: B-167790

DATE: DEC 23 1977

MATTER OF: Reimbursement of Corps of Engineers for

Disaster Assistance Work

DIGEST: Federal Disaster Assistance Administration (FDAA) entered into an agreem at with the Corps of Engineers under which the Corps agreed to undertake certain disaster relief projects, the expenses -- including overhead--of which FDAA would reimburse the Corps. However, at the time FDAA's regulations prohibited from paying overhead to cooperating agencies. On the other hand, based on that agreement, the Corps decided to use its Civil Revidving Fund to finance its disaster relief functions. Wisk performed for other agencies which is financial from the Fund must be reimbursed at rates including charges for overhead, 30 U.S.C. § 570. In our view, FDAA is required to comply with its agreements with the Corps and reimburse that agency for overhead costs incurred in carrying out FDAA requested work.

The Chief of Engineers, the Army Corps of Engineers (his reference DAEN-ECF-C), has requested our opinion on whether the Federal Disaster Assistance Administration (FDAA), formerly the Office of Emergency Preparedness (OEF), Department of Housing and Urban Development, is legally responsible for payment of the Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) general administrative costs (overhead) under the circumstances set forth below.

The costs involved were incurred at Corps district and operating division offices on reimbursable disaster assistance work orders issued by FDAA to Corps districts in accordance with Pub. L. No. 91-606 approved December 31, 1970, 84 Stat. 1744, 42 U.S.C. § 4401 et seq. (970), known as the Disaster Relief Act of 1970. The Corps and FDAA have, according to the Chief of Engineers, reached an impasse on payment of these Corps-incurred costs on rendering reimbursable disaster assistance to FDAA.

The Disaster Relief Act authorized Government agencies, including the Corps, to assist in disaster relief functions. The Act then provides:

bu

B-167790

"(c) Reimbursement.

"Federal agencies may be reinhursed for expenditures under this chapter from funds appropriated for the purposes of this chapter. Any funds received by Federal agencies as reimbursement for services or supplies furnished under the authority of this section shall be deposited to the credit of the appropriation or appropriations currently available for such services or supplies." 42 U.S.C. § 4413(c) (1970)

The expenses incurred by the Corps f r the disaster relief work it performed for FDAA were financed from its revolving fund established by the Act of July 27, 1853, ch. 265, section 181, 87 Stat. 188, 33 U.S.C. § 578 (1878). That seet in provides that the monies in the revolving fund are available, among other things, for the furnishing of facilities and services for Government agencies and private persons as authorized by law. The provisions of 23 U.S.C. § 576 then adds, in part:

"The fund shall be credited with reimbursements or advances for the cost of equipment, facilities, and services furnished, at rates which shall include charges for overbess and related expenses, depreciation of plant and equipment, and accrued leave * * . " (Emphasis supplied.)

On May 29, 1973, FDAA and HUD entered into a Memorandum of Agreement, retroactive to January 1, 1973, in which FDAA agreed to reimburse the Corps for disaster relief expenses, including administrative overhead expenses such as those in dispute. Notwithstanding the agreement, an FDAA regulation at 32 C.F.R. § 1709. S(a) (1973) (later codified at 24 C.F.R. § 2201. S(a) (1974)) listed administrative overhead costs as being ineligible for reimbursement. In a Merch 23, 1976 epinion, the General Counsel of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) advised FDAA not to reimburse the Corps for any administrative everhead costs then pending or incurred in the future on the basis of the aforementioned regulation.

FDAA replaced its regulations at 24 C.F.R. § 2201 (1973), supra, with new regulations in 41 Fed. Reg. 32, 359 (1976),

1-107795

THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

edified at \$4 C.F.R. § \$200. 30 % pay. (1877). The new regnlation absorbance his ineligibility if Ministerrative everheed
costs and provided for the cit/Mility of "[ARI costs incurred
which are paid from trust, revolving, or other funds, the full
reimburgement of which is required by law." 24 C.F.R. §
2306. 83(b) (6). As a result of this provision, a new identerenders of Agreement between the species was signed on
December 8, 1976, and was made retreastive to August 2,
1976. Thus, the issue is whether, for the period between
Mry 80, 1972, and August 3, 1976, FDAA is required to reimbures the Corps for its everband expenses in spite of the regulation then is offeet, which specifically stated that FDAA would
not pay everboad expenses.

There is sething in the provisions of the Disester Relief Act of 1970, 42 U.S.C. 5 4412(a) (1970), which specifies these expenses for which "Federal agencies may be reimbursed." Pursuant to that Act MAA man delegated emissivity to issue rules and regulations which would not first the kind of reimbursement of would provide and to enter into agreements with other forces, agencies based therem. FDAA's regulations at the time is a time specifically procladed the reimbursement of overhead.

Until it entered into the agreement of May 28, 1978, with FD/A, the Corps used funds appropriated pursuant to Fub. L. No. 84-99 to finance FDAA proposed operations. After entering into his agreement, the Chief of Engineers testified that his agency would no longer need to request appropriations to finance activities undertaken at FDAA's request, since FDAA had agreed to reimbures the Corps for its overhead expenses and therefore it could use its Civil Revolving Fund which "would be fully reimbursed for all work parformed at the request of FDAA (OKP) for classters according on and after James 1, 1973. "Econo Hearings to Supplemental Appropriation Bill, 18/4, before the House Appropriations Committee, Part 1, pages 388-388.

In order to use its Civil Revolving Fund, the Corps was required by status to collect its overhead expenses. The Memorandum of Agreement between FDAA and the Corps provided that among the items for which the Corps would be reimbursed would be:

"* * * {O} verticed charges normally applied to Corps direct labor and distributed to the projects on which the individual worked."

B-167720

This supremiss was in accordance with he staniony requirements involving the use of the Corps' Civil hereling First to parform disaster relief work at FDAA's request. After reaching this agreement, the Corps explained to the House Appropriations Committee that it would be imper need separate appropriations to carry out this work on the busis of this new agreement and that the Civil Revolving Fund would not be reduced since all related costs would be recovered from FDAA.

It is not clear from the record before as if FDAA was everyouted the Corps was planning to use the Civil Revolving Fund. However, it is clear that FDAA was not preclaided by statute from entering into an agreement to reimburge comparating agreeist for floor everteed expenses. FDAA is primarily respectible for leaving the one regulations and, in our view, should been the bount of the responsibility for entering too an agreement contrary to its regulations.

Accordingly, we believe that FEAA should reinstance the Corpe' Civil Revolving Fund for the everhead expenses incurred by the Corpe during the period in question. This, it seems to up, is more equitable then having the Corpe pay, in effect, for FDAA's arror.

R. F. KELLIN

. Poputy. Comptroller General of the United States